



കേരള സർക്കാർ
Government of Kerala
2016



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI
Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2015-17

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 5 Vol. V	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2016 മേയ് 10 10th May 2016	നമ്പർ } 19 No.
		1191 മേടം 27 27th Medam 1191	
		1938 വൈശാഖം 20 20th Vaisakha 1938	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department

Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 214/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri T. K. Nazar, Proprietor, Kannur Beach House, Near Bund, Thottada, Thottada P. O., Kannur-670 007 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Hussain, P. S., S/o Siddik, Chulliyile Peedikayil House, Alavil P. O., Kannur-670 008 represented by the General Secretary, Kannur Jilla Shop Establishment & Commercial Workers Union (INTUC), Fort Road, Kannur-670 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Hussain, P. S., by the Proprietor of Kannur Beach House, Thottada, Kannur is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 215/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jojo Jose & Smt. Sheji Jojo, Muttichukkarann Veedu, Anjangadi, Sindooram Appartments, Room No. 22, East Fort P. O., Thrissur-680 005 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Raveendran, S/o Raghavan, Pallimakkal Veedu, Vendur, Amballur, Thrissur-680 302 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Raveendran, Cashier, by the management of Popular Medical Store, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 216/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jojo Jose & Smt. Sheji Jojo, Muttichukkarar Veedu, Anjangadi, Sindooram Apartments, Room No. 22, East Fort P. O., Thrissur-680 005 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. N. Raju, Poomuttathu Veedu, S. K. Nagar, Mannuthi P. O., Thrissur-680 651 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. N. Raju, Cashier, by the management of Popular Medical Store, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 217/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Abhilash, Owner, Jyothi Offset Printers, Market Road, Kodakara, Thrissur-680 684 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Leena, K. U., w/o Antu, Chemminchery Veedu, Kodali P. O., Thrissur-680 699 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Leena, K. U., DTP Operator by the employer of Jyothi Press, Kodakara is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 218/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jojo Jose & Smt. Sheji Jojo, Muttichukkarar Veedu, Anjangadi, Sindooram Apartments, Room No. 22, East Fort P. O., Thrissur-680 005 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Tomy Varghese, Veluthukkarar Veedu, Chiyaram P. O., Thrissur-680 026 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Tomy Varghese Salesman, by the management of Popular Medical Store, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 219/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Basheer, Proprietor, Basith Silk Collection, Thettammal Building, Near Government Hospital, Tirur-676 121 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. M. Prasanna, w/o Chandramohan, Malayathu House, Thrikkandiyur P. O., Tirur, Malappuram-676 104 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. M. Prasanna by the Proprietor of Basith Silk Collection, Tirur is justified or not? If not, what are the remedies available to her ?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 220/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jojo Jose & Smt. Sheji Jojo, Muttichukkarun Veedu, Anjangadi, Sindooram Apartments, Room No. 22, East Fort P. O., Thrissur-680 005 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jose, M. K., s/o Kuriyappan, Mullakkara, Vadakkethoravu, Chengalur P. O., Thrissur-680 312 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Jose, M. K., Salesman, by the management of Popular Medical Store, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 221/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Padmanabhan, Proprietor of Modern Beauty Hair Dressers, Chaithrapuram Complex, Kudukkimmotta, Kanjirodu P. O., Kannur-670 592

and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kannur Jilla Shop Establishment & Commercial Workers Union (INTUC), Fort Road, Kannur-670 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri N. Pavithran by the Proprietor of Modern Beauty Hair Dressers, Kudukkimmotta is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(9)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 224/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri K. V. Rajeevan, 'Devaki Mandiram', Chattukappara, Maniyoore P. O., Kannur-670 592, (2) Smt. Anoopra Pradeepan, 'Geetha Nivas', Vengad P. O., Ancharakkandi (via), Kannur-670 612 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Benny, C. A. s/o Antony, 'Chudaliyanganal House', Mattara, Vattiyamthodu P. O., Iritty, Kannur-670 705 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Benny, C. A., Driver by the Proprietor of Infinity Bus Company is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(10)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 225/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Muneer, P. V., s/o P. M. C. Ummer Haji, Fathima Manzil, Thottikkal, Thiruvattur P. O., Kannur-670 502 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Division Secretary, Motor Transport Employees Union (CITU), Thalipparamba Division, Kannur-670 141 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. Ramachandran (Babu), Driver by the Proprietor of Airways Bus KL-59-6255, Sri Muneer, P. V., Fathima Manzil, Thiruvattur, Kannur is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(11)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 257/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Soft Land India Limited, Plot No. 14(A), Kinfra Small Industries Park, Menamkulam, St. Xavier's College P. O., Thumba, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Paikkattu Pushparajan, Manayadathu Thazhathu Veedu, Eranjikkal P. O., Kazhikode-673 303 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of P. Pushparajan by the management of Soft Land India Limited, Plot No. 14 (A), Kinfra Small Industries Park, Menamkulam, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(12)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 258/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Travancore Paper Mills (Private) Limited, Kaduvinal P. O., Vallikunnam, Alappuzha-690 501 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Industrial Employees Sangh (BMS), Reg. No. 03-5/93, BMS Office, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial by the Management of Travancore Paper Mills (Private) Limited; to revise the wages of workers is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to ?

(13)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 259/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Managing Director, Plantation Corporation of Kerala Limited, Kottayam, (2) the Manager, PCK Chandanappally Estate, Nedumkavu P. O., Pathanamthitta and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri V. O. Raju, Vengasseril Melethil, Kodumon P. O., Pin-691 555 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of overtime wages for 1530 hours to Sri V. O. Raju, Ambulance Driver of PCK Kodumon Estate by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(14)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 260/2016/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th February 2016.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager (Chief Executive Officer), Harrison's Malayalam Limited, Wallardie, Vandiperiyar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilali Union (CITU), PR Centre, Vandiperiyar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of 20% bonus for the financial year 2013-14 to the workers of Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar by the management of Harrison's Malayalam Limited is justifiable? If not, what relief the workers are entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

SHERLI, P.,

Deputy Secretary to Government.